

Document made available under the Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT)

International application number: PCT/GB05/001193

International filing date: 24 March 2005 (24.03.2005)

Document type: Certified copy of priority document

Document details: Country/Office: GB
Number: 0406998.5
Filing date: 27 March 2004 (27.03.2004)

Date of receipt at the International Bureau: 22 September 2005 (22.09.2005)

Remark: Priority document submitted or transmitted to the International Bureau in compliance with Rule 17.1(a) or (b)



World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) - Geneva, Switzerland
Organisation Mondiale de la Propriété Intellectuelle (OMPI) - Genève, Suisse



PCT/GB 2005 / 0 0 1 1 9 3



INVESTOR IN PEOPLE

The Patent Office
Concept House
Cardiff Road
Newport
South Wales
NP10 8QQ

I, the undersigned, being an officer duly authorised in accordance with Section 74(1) and (4) of the Deregulation & Contracting Out Act 1994, to sign and issue certificates on behalf of the Comptroller-General, hereby certify that annexed hereto is a true copy of the documents as originally filed in connection with the patent application identified therein.

I also certify that the attached copy of the request for grant of a Patent (Form 1/77) bears an amendment, effected by this office, following a request by the applicant and agreed to by the Comptroller-General.


In accordance with the Patents (Companies Re-registration) Rules 1982, if a company named in this certificate and any accompanying documents has re-registered under the Companies Act 1980 with the same name as that with which it was registered immediately before re-registration save for the substitution as, or inclusion as, the last part of the name of the words "public limited company" or their equivalents in Welsh, references to the name of the company in this certificate and any accompanying documents shall be treated as references to the name with which it is so re-registered.

In accordance with the rules, the words "public limited company" may be replaced by p.l.c., plc, P.L.C. or PLC.

Re-registration under the Companies Act does not constitute a new legal entity but merely subjects the company to certain additional company law rules.

Signed

Dated 13 September 2005



29MAR04 E884630-5 The Patent Office
POL/7700 0.00-0406998.5 ACCOUNT CHA
Cardiff Road
Newport
South Wales
NP10 8QQ

Request for grant of a patent

(See the notes on the back of this form. You can also get an explanatory leaflet from the Patent Office to help you fill in this form)

1. Your reference

CS 00-4

2. Patent application number

(The Patent Office will fill this part in)

0406998.5

27 MAR 2004

3. Full name, address and postcode of the or each applicant (underline all surnames)

CLEANSORB LIMITED
UNIT 14

SURREY TECHNOLOGY CENTRE

40 OCCAM ROAD 8424525002

GUILDFORD, SURREY, GU2 7YG

Patents ADP number (if you know it)

If the applicant is a corporate body, give the country/state of its incorporation

4. Title of the invention

PROCESS FOR DISRUPTION OF FILTER CAKES

5. Name of your agent (if you have one)

form 51/77

SA Kemp & Co

20-12-04

"Address for service" in the United Kingdom to which all correspondence should be sent (including the postcode)

111 South Square

Gray's Inn

LONDON

CLEANSORB LIMITED

UNIT 14

SURREY TECHNOLOGY CENTRE

40 OCCAM ROAD

GUILDFORD, SURREY, GU2 7YG

Patents ADP number (if you know it)

WCL2 533

26001

6. Priority: Complete this section if you are declaring priority from one or more earlier patent applications, filed in the last 12 months.

Country

Priority application number
(if you know it)

Date of filing
(day / month / year)

7. Divisionals, etc: Complete this section only if this application is a divisional application or resulted from an entitlement dispute (see note f)

Number of earlier UK application

Date of filing
(day / month / year)

8. Is a Patents Form 7/77 (Statement of inventorship and of right to grant of a patent) required in support of this request?

YES

Answer YES if:

a) any applicant named in part 3 is not an inventor, or

b) there is an inventor who is not named as an applicant, or

c) any named applicant is a corporate body.

Otherwise answer NO (See note d)

Patents Form 1/77

9. Accompanying documents: A patent application must include a description of the invention. Not counting duplicates, please enter the number of pages of each item accompanying this form:

Continuation sheets of this form	NONE
Description	15
Claim(s)	5
Abstract	1
Drawing(s)	NONE

10. If you are also filing any of the following, state how many against each item.

Priority documents	NONE
Translations of priority documents	NONE
Statement of inventorship and right to grant of a patent (Patents Form 7/77)	1
Request for a preliminary examination and search (Patents Form 9/77)	NONE
Request for a substantive examination (Patents Form 10/77)	NONE
Any other documents (please specify)	NONE

11. I/We request the grant of a patent on the basis of this application.

Signature(s) Ralph Harris

Date 26th MARCH 2004

12. Name, daytime telephone number and e-mail address, if any, of person to contact in the United Kingdom

RALPH HARRIS 01483 295090
ralphharris@cleansorb.com

Warning

After an application for a patent has been filed, the Comptroller of the Patent Office will consider whether publication or communication of the invention should be prohibited or restricted under Section 22 of the Patents Act 1977. You will be informed if it is necessary to prohibit or restrict your invention in this way. Furthermore, if you live in the United Kingdom, Section 23 of the Patents Act 1977 stops you from applying for a patent abroad without first getting written permission from the Patent Office unless an application has been filed at least 6 weeks beforehand in the United Kingdom for a patent for the same invention and either no direction prohibiting publication or communication has been given, or any such direction has been revoked.

Notes

- If you need help to fill in this form or you have any questions, please contact the Patent Office on 08459 500505.
- Write your answers in capital letters using black ink or you may type them.
- If there is not enough space for all the relevant details on any part of this form, please continue on a separate sheet of paper and write "see continuation sheet" in the relevant part(s). Any continuation sheet should be attached to this form.
- If you have answered YES in part 8, a Patents Form 7/77 will need to be filed.
- Once you have filled in the form you must remember to sign and date it.
- Part 7 should only be completed when a divisional application is being made under section 15(4), or when an application is being made under section 8(3), 12(6) or 37(4) following an entitlement dispute. By completing part 7 you are requesting that this application takes the same filing date as an earlier UK application. If you want the new application to have the same priority date(s) as the earlier UK application, you should also complete part 6 with the priority details.

PROCESS FOR DISRUPTION OF FILTER CAKES

The process of the present invention is generally applicable to the drilling of wells into hydrocarbon and water containing underground formations, from which hydrocarbons or water are subsequently produced.

In conventional drilling of a well into an underground formation a drilling fluid is generally used. Drilling fluids (also referred to as drilling muds or drill-in fluids) are normally formulated to minimise the loss of fluid to the formation. This is commonly achieved by incorporating into the drilling fluid suitable materials which contribute to the formation of a filter cake. Drilling fluids generally contain bridging and weighting agents, at least one polymer as a viscosifier and a fluid loss additive such as starch. Suitable bridging agents include, but are not limited to, barite, calcium carbonate and dolomite which also function as weighting agents. Sized salt may also be used as a bridging agent in conjunction with a saturated salt solution (US 4,175,042). Generally, one or more bridging agents are used in combination with viscosifying agents such as suitable biopolymers. Together with fines generated during the drilling process, this results in the formation of a filter cake on the inside of the wellbore. While the filter cake is being formed, there is a loss of fluid to the formation generally referred to as the fluid spurt loss.

After drilling, the wellbore may be cased and perforated in which case the filter cake is bypassed. However, many wells, particularly horizontal wells and in particular long horizontal wells are left as openhole wells, with no casing and perforation in the production interval. After drilling, such wells have a filter cake lining the inside of the wellbore. The filter cake produced during drilling is a type of formation damage and although desirable during drilling can significantly limit the production rate of hydrocarbon or water from such wells, or limit the injectivity of injector wells. The filter cake must therefore be removed in order to maximise the production or injection rate.

If the formation is a high permeability formation, the filter cake may be adequately removed by a high drawdown. Often however, in low permeability formations or formations with a low drawdown, a process which assists in the removal of the filter cake must be applied in order to ensure that the filter cake is removed. It is generally necessary to apply a chemical treatment to remove the filter cake. Conventional

treatments for removing filter cake include the use of aqueous solutions of an oxidiser, hydrochloric acid solutions, organic acid solutions including formic or acetic acid, combinations of acid and oxidiser, and aqueous solutions of enzymes.

There is a particular need for processes that will effectively disrupt filter cake following gravel packing. Successful gravel packing of open holes ("external gravel packing") requires the presence of an intact filter cake. However, in order to give acceptable production rates, efficient clean up of filter cakes is required after placing the gravel.

An intact filter cake is also desirable in other types of sand control completions, for example when placing pre-packed screens, to prevent fluid loss. Again efficient disruption of filter cakes is required following placing of the screen to give acceptable production rates.

US 6,140,277 and PCT/GB00/01032 detail the problems which affect conventional techniques of filter cake removal. The effective placement of reactive fluids such as hydrochloric acid is very difficult and generally results in very variable effectiveness of treatment along the wellbore or other target zone. Placement problems have been addressed by methods which generate acid in-situ (US 5,678,632 ; PCT/GB00/01032).

Another problem is that filter cakes frequently consist of several components which are generally not removable by a single treatment. For example, certain drilling muds or drill-in fluids contain calcium carbonate or dolomite in combination with a polymer or polymers which provides suitable rheological properties. Both the carbonate and the polymer contribute to the formation of a filter cake. Rock fines generated during drilling of the formation rocks may also be present in the filter cakes.

An acid may be used to dissolve the carbonate components of such filter cakes and suitable polymer breakers such as oxidizing agents or enzymes may be used to break down polymers in the filter cake. These have generally been applied as separate treatments as acids and polymer breakers are frequently incompatible (see US 6,140,277 ; PCT/GB00/01032).

US 6,140,277 teaches the use of formulations comprising a viscoelastic surfactant, a chelating agent and an enzyme for breaking a filter cake.

PCT/GB00/01032 teaches the use of formulations comprising esters which hydrolyse (optionally using ester hydrolysing enzymes) to produce organic acid in-situ in combination with oxidants or enzyme polymer breakers to treat formation damage including filter cakes.

Both US 6,140,277 and PCT/GB00/01032 allow single stage treatments of filter cakes wherein the treatment dissolves carbonate and hydrolyses polymers. Both types of treatment give very good zonal coverage.

If drilling fluid formulations were available in which the filter cake was substantially degraded within a reasonable period of time (a few days to a few weeks) without using a clean up treatment this would avoid the need for separate well clean up treatments. It would also overcome the problems associated with placing reactive treatment fluids and obtaining good zonal coverage in such treatments. Such filter cakes could be regarded as self cleaning.

It is the object of the present invention to provide drilling fluid formulations that produce filter cakes which are fully or partially self cleaning following drilling. Accordingly, the present invention provides a process for producing a self-disrupting filter cake in an underground formation, which process comprises:

- (a) incorporating into a drilling fluid a solid polymer capable of being converted by hydrolysis into one or more organic acids; and
- (b) using the drilling fluid to drill a wellbore into the underground formation such that the solid polymer in the drilling fluid contributes to the formation of a filter cake.

Typically the process further comprises:

- (c) allowing the solid polymer to hydrolyse in the presence of water and to disrupt the integrity of the filter cake.

In the process of the present invention the drilling fluid (generally referred to as a drill-in fluid) used for drilling through that part of the formation from which hydrocarbons or water will be produced is formulated to give acceptable drilling properties. A fluid used for drilling needs to have properties consistent with its required functions. Required functions of a drilling fluid, drilling mud or drill-in fluid include: control of formation pressure; lubrication of the drill string; cooling of the

drill bit; suspension of solids under static conditions and the removal of drilled cuttings from the hole.

In the process of the present invention the composition of the drilling fluid is also formulated to include selected solid materials which act as bridging agents and contribute to the build up of a filter cake.

The drilling fluid is normally a water based fluid but may be oil based or based on synthesised compounds such as liquid esters. Such drilling fluids will be well known to those skilled in the art.

In the most basic embodiment of the invention, particles of a solid polymer that undergoes slow hydrolysis and dissolution at reservoir temperature are selected and incorporated into the drilling fluid used to drill into the hydrocarbon or water producing part of the formation. As the well is drilled, the polymer particles contribute to the production of a filter cake in combination with formation fines, viscosifying agents including biopolymers if present, and other particulate materials incorporated into the drilling fluid as a bridging, or fluid loss agent. Drilling using the process of the present invention includes all processes that would be recognised as drilling by one skilled in the art, including under-reaming. Subsequently the polymer hydrolyses in the presence of water to water-soluble monomers or oligomers. Normally the polymer will dissolve to produce organic acid or acids. Hydrolysis of the polymer disrupts the integrity of the filter cake by removing at least a portion of the particulate material in the filter cake. In addition, if the filter cake contains acid soluble material, acid produced by hydrolysis of the polymer will react with at least a portion of the acid soluble material and will further disrupt the integrity of the filter cake. If the formation is a carbonate formation, acid produced by the hydrolysis of the polymer may also react with the formation adjacent to the filter cake and will assist in disruption of the filter cake.

The hydrolysis of the solid polymer normally occurs over a period longer than that needed to drill through that part of the formation which will be produced. Normally this will be at least a few days and preferably 4 or more days, although hydrolysis of the polymer over a shorter or longer period may be desirable depending on the time taken to drill and complete the well. Generally it is desirable that the filter cake should remain intact until drilling is complete. At this point the drilling fluid is

usually displaced to a solids free fluid, normally an aqueous fluid. It is desirable that the integrity of the filter cake is disrupted only substantially after the drilling fluid has been displaced to a solids free fluid, so that fluid loss to the formation will be minimised.

The polymer used in the process of the present invention is any solid polymer which hydrolyses in the presence of water to generate an organic acid or acids. Preferably the polymer is a polyester, typically an aliphatic polyester. Most preferably the polyester is selected from the group which can be synthesised by suitable processes known to those skilled in the art, including the ring opening melt condensation of lactide (lactic acid cyclic dimer), glycolide (glycolic acid cyclic dimer) and caprolactone. Suitable polymers include polymers which incorporate lactide, glycolide or caprolactone, with or without other monomers. Specific examples include polylactide (polylactic acid), polyglycolide (polyglycolic acid), lactide-glycolide copolymer, lactide-caprolactone copolymer, glycolide-caprolactone copolymer and lactide-glycolide-caprolactone copolymer. Suitable polymers also include homopolymers or copolymers of lactic acid and hydroxyacetic acid (glycolic acid) and copolymers of lactic acid and/or glycolic acid with one or more other compounds containing hydroxy-, carboxylic - or hydroxycarboxylic acid moieties. US 4,986,353 provides examples of suitable monomers with which lactic acid or glycolic acid may be condensed. Suitable monomers include but are not limited to tribasic acids such as citric acid, dibasic acids such as adipic acid, and diols such as ethylene glycol and polyols. They also include difunctional molecules such as 2,2-(bishydroxymethyl) propanoic acid. Preferred co-condensing molecules according to the process of US 4,986,353 are citric acid, 2,2-(bishydroxymethyl) propanoic acid, trimethylol-ethane, and adipic acid.

These, or any other monomers may also be incorporated into the polymers according to the process of the present invention as long as the solid polymer undergoes hydrolysis in the presence of water to generate an organic acid or acids.

Acid production is from simple hydrolysis of ester linkages in the polyester.

Polymers which hydrolyse to produce lactic acid and/or glycolic acid are preferred. Particularly preferred polymers are aliphatic polyesters selected from the group which can be synthesised by the condensation of lactic acid, glycolic acid, lactide (dimer of

lactic acid) glycolide (dimer of glycolic acid) and caprolactone. Hydrolysis of a polymer wherein lactic acid has been incorporated by condensation produces lactic acid and hydrolysis of a polymer wherein glycolic acid has been incorporated by condensation produces glycolic acid.

The composition of the polymer or copolymer is a principal determinant of the hydrolysis rate of the polymer. A composition which will give the required rate of hydrolysis under the temperature conditions of the treated formation will generally be selected. After drilling of the well and displacement of the drilling fluid to a solids free fluid, the well will normally be shut in for a time sufficient for the polymer to hydrolyse and disrupt the integrity of the filter cake. The well is then put on production (or in the case of an injector well on injection).

Hydrolysis of the polymer is by bulk erosion (Biodegradable Polymers as Drug Delivery Systems, Edited by Mark Chasin and Robert Langer. Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, Basel and Hong Kong, 1990).

The rate of hydrolysis is primarily influenced by four key variables; monomer stereochemistry (D or L form), comonomer ratio, polymer chain linearity and polymer molecular weight. Hydrolysis takes place at the surface of the polymer so, for a given polymer composition, the particle size of the polymer is also a prime determinant of the rate of hydrolysis and acid production.

Smaller particles of a polymer of a given composition at a given temperature have a larger surface area per unit weight so will produce acid at a faster rate. In general, polylactic acid and other lactic acid rich polymers will degrade at a slower rate than polyglycolic acid and glycolic acid rich polymers and are generally preferred for the process of the present invention.

Incorporation of caprolactone into the polymers can increase the rate of hydrolysis of the polymers if desired. The rate of hydrolysis of the polymers may also be influenced by the extent of block or random structure in copolymers, by chemical modification of the end groups of the polymer or by the introduction of branching into the polymers, for example by incorporating polyols into the polymer

The rate of depolymerisation may also be increased by incorporating specific chemicals such as quaternary ammonium compounds into the polyesters US 5,278,256).

Compositions of polymer which hydrolyse relatively quickly include polyglycolic acid and polymers where glycolic acid represents over 50 to 99% of the constituent monomers of the polymer. Compositions of polymer which hydrolyse relatively slowly include polylactic acid and polymers where lactic acid represents over 50 to 99% of the constituent monomers of the polymer. In low temperatures, for example from 20°C to 100°C, polymers rich in glycolic acid (i.e. containing over 50%) will tend to be used in the process of the present invention. At higher temperatures, for example from about 80° to 170°C polymers rich in lactic acid (i.e. containing over 50%) will tend to be used.

The type of organic acid, amount of acid delivered and rate of acid production at a given temperature may be determined by selecting an appropriate polymer composition and form of presentation of the solid polymer (size and shape of the solids).

Acid produced by the hydrolysis of the polymer may react with acid soluble material such as calcium carbonate or dolomite present in the filter cake or adjacent formation. Preferably, the organic acids produced by the hydrolysis of the polymer react with calcium carbonate to form calcium salts with a solubility in water of at least a few percent at the formation temperature. Lactic acid and glycolic acid are suitable acids.

Because acid is produced over a period of time, the solid polymer may be incorporated into the filter cake before most of the acid is produced. The polymer will normally be present in the whole of the drilling fluid used to drill through the production interval and will therefore be present in the whole of the filter cake.

The polymers may be used in any solid configuration, including, but not being limited to spheres, cylinders, cuboids, fibres, powders, beads or any other configuration which can be introduced into the formation. It will preferably be used in the form of particles in the size range 1 micron to 2 mm, most preferably 5 microns to 100 microns.

Polymers of the desired size and shape may be prepared by any suitable process known to those skilled in the art including but not being limited to high shear dispersion of the polymer melt, emulsification followed by solvent evaporation, desolvation, spray drying or grinding. Some suitable processes of producing

microparticles, microspheres, microcapsules, shaped particles and fibres are reviewed in Chasin, M and Langer, R. (Eds.). *Biodegradable Polymers as Drug Delivery Systems*. Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, (1990). US 4,986,355 teaches a process of preparing suitably sized polyester particles for use as a fluid loss additive or as a gel breaker in a subterranean formation.

In other embodiments of the invention, polymer breakers may also, optionally, be introduced into the wellbore to assist in the disruption of the filter cake, by attacking any biopolymers or other viscosifying or fluid loss polymers present in the filter cake. The polymer breaker may be introduced into the drilling fluid and/or into the solid polymer itself but will preferably be introduced into the solids free fluid introduced into the wellbore to displace the drilling fluid. The polymer breakers may be dissolved or dispersed in the drilling fluid, solid polymer or solids free fluid or may be present in the form of any delayed or controlled release formulation, including encapsulated forms of the polymer breakers, such as will be known by those skilled in the art.

Preferred polymer breakers of the present invention are oxidative breakers (oxidants) and enzyme breakers, although any other breaker capable of at least partly degrading viscosifying polymers may also be used.

Oxidative breakers used in the process of the present invention may be any one of those oxidative breakers known by those skilled in the art to be useful to react with viscosifying or fluid loss polymers, in most cases polysaccharide biopolymers, to reduce the viscosity of polymer containing compositions or to disrupt polymer containing filter cakes. The oxidative breaker may be present in solution or as a dispersion. Suitable compounds include peroxides, persulphates, perborates, percarbonates, perphosphates, hypochlorites, persilicates and hydrogen peroxide adducts such as urea hydrogen peroxide and magnesium peroxide. They will generally be used at least at that concentration known to be effective by those skilled in the art.

Preferred oxidative breakers for incorporation into the drilling fluid, the fluids (including solids free fluids) introduced into the wellbore to displace the drilling fluid, or into the solid polymer according to the method of the present invention are peroxides which can decompose to generate hydrogen peroxide. Of the oxidative

breakers most preferred are percarbonates and perborates, most especially sodium percarbonate and sodium perborate.

Preferred enzyme breakers for use in the process of the present invention include those enzymes known in the art to be useful to hydrolyse viscosifying or fluid loss polymers and thereby to reduce the viscosity of polymer containing compositions or to disrupt polymer containing filter cakes. Enzyme breakers will be selected on the basis of their known ability to hydrolyse the viscosifying polymer. Normally the viscosifying polymer will be a polysaccharide and the enzyme breakers will be selected on the basis of their known ability to hydrolyse polysaccharide components in the filter cake. Examples of suitable enzymes which may be used to break polysaccharides include enzymes which can hydrolyse starch, xanthan, cellulose, guar, scleroglucan, succinoglycan or derivatives of these polymers.

In some embodiments of the present invention the effectiveness of the incorporated oxidant breakers can be enhanced by producing more reactive oxidants. Under certain conditions, for instance when a peroxide is introduced, the production of hydrogen peroxide in the presence of the organic acid may result in the formation of a peracid which is a more effective oxidant than the hydrogen peroxide. Hydrolysis of esters in the presence of hydrogen peroxide may also result in the production of peracids. Esters are known to be hydrolysed by hydrolases (EC 3) such as a lipase (EC 3.1.1.3), an esterase (EC 3.1.1.1) or a protease (EC 3.4) in the presence of hydrogen peroxide or other peroxides to form a peracid (US 3,974,082 ; US 5,108,457 ; US 5,296,161 ; US 5,338,474 ; US 5,352,594 ; US 5,364,554). Peracids produced *in-situ* by such enzymes have been used for bleaching applications. Peracids are more effective oxidants than peroxides, particularly in the temperature range 25 to 80° C. Accordingly, esters, ester hydrolyzing enzymes, hydrogen peroxide or hydrogen peroxide generating compounds may be incorporated into the drilling fluid, into the solids free fluid introduced into the wellbore to displace the drilling fluid, or into the solid polymer of the present invention. Hydrolysis of polyesters in the presence of hydrogen peroxide is also expected to generate peracids.

In certain embodiments of the present invention, it may be desirable to use more than one type of polymer breaker, for example an oxidant might be used in

combination with an enzyme breaker in the case where two polysaccharides are present but only one is amenable to attack by an enzyme.

The solid polymers may if desired contain other materials by dissolution, dispersion or encapsulation. The other materials may include polymer breakers. Hydrolysis of the solid polymer will release the polymer breakers or other added materials. In the case of polymer breakers encapsulated in the polymer, release will generally follow acid production and in the case of dissolved or dispersed materials, release will be coincident with acid production. One function of the added materials is to adjust the specific gravity of the solid polymer to the desired value for incorporation in the drilling fluid. Preferred materials for adjusting the specific gravity include water soluble alkali metal salts and other salts such as are otherwise used for adjusting the specific gravity of oilfield brines. The other materials may also comprise calcium peroxide and ammonium bifluoride.

Because acid is produced by the hydrolysis of the solid polymers, chemicals which react with acid to produce desirable oxidants or other chemicals for treatment of the underground formation may conveniently be incorporated into one or more of the drilling fluid, the fluid introduced into the wellbore to displace the drilling fluid or into the solid polymer according to the method of the present invention. Examples of suitable chemicals are calcium peroxide and ammonium bifluoride. Calcium peroxide decomposes in the presence of acid to form hydrogen peroxide and ammonium bifluoride decomposes in the presence of acid to form hydrogen fluoride. In-situ production of hydrogen peroxide or hydrogen fluoride can further assist in disruption of the filter cake. Production of hydrogen fluoride permits the dissolution of materials which are not readily soluble in organic acids solutions such as silicate or clay fines produced by the drilling process, clays present in the drilling mud (e.g. bentonite or montmorillonite) or clays present in the formation adjacent to the wellbore.

Glycolic acid can dissolve calcium sulphate. Glycolic acid produced by hydrolysis of the solid polymer, or introduced directly into the wellbore, can efficiently remove calcium sulphate thus allowing calcium sulphate to be used as a bridging agent in the drilling fluid if desired. Glycolic acid produced by hydrolysis of solid polymer may also be effective in dissolving any calcium sulphate produced by reaction between the drilling fluid and formation fluid. Other chelating acids which

may be produced by hydrolysis of solid polymer and which may dissolve other mineral bridging agents or scales will be evident to those skilled in the art.

More than one solid polymer with or without encapsulated, dissolved or dispersed polymer breakers may be introduced into the formation at the same time. The eventual complete dissolution of the solid polymers allows ideal clean up behavior.

All chemicals required for the process of the present invention will normally be technical grade to reduce the cost of the process.

Where an enzyme is used as a polymer breaker according to the process of the present invention, it is necessary to select an enzyme which remains active under reservoir conditions and in the treatment fluid for at least as long as the catalytic activity is needed.

The enzyme is generally a water soluble enzyme. It is generally advantageous for the enzymes to be readily water soluble although the enzymes may also be active and be used in low water activity environments or two-phase systems such as emulsions or dispersions. Typically, isolated enzymes are used. Enzymes may be isolated from plant, animal, bacterial or fungal sources. The enzymes may be produced from wild-type, conventionally bred, mutated or genetically engineered organisms. The enzymes may, optionally, be chemically modified, as long as they retain or possess the desired catalytic ability. Preferably, the enzymes will be industrial enzymes available in bulk from commercial sources.

Although most of the acid is produced from hydrolysis of the solid polymer after the filtercake is formed, traces of acid present in the drilling fluid which arises from hydrolysis of the solid polymers during drilling, could potentially lead to premature dissolution of acid soluble portions of the filtercake. This situation can be avoided by incorporation into drilling fluids of the present invention of a suitable amount of a base or a buffer which would maintain the pH at a level above that at which erosion of the filter cake would occur for at least the period of time required to drill the wellbore in the hydrocarbon or water bearing formation.

Similarly, premature dissolution of filter cake due to polymer breakers attacking the filter cake may be addressed by using controlled release preparations of polymer breaker such as will be known to those skilled in the art, including, but not

being limited to, preparations wherein the polymer breaker is incorporated into the solid polymer by encapsulation, dissolution or dispersion. Normally however, the polymer breakers will not be present in the drilling fluid as they would otherwise reduce the viscosity to below the desired value. Preferably, one or more polymer breakers will be introduced into the wellbore in the solids free fluid which is used to displace the drilling fluid. The polymer breaker will be present in an amount sufficient to assist in the further disruption of filter cake due to degradation of polymers present in the filter cake. This disruption is in addition to that caused by dissolution of solid polymer and dissolution of any acid soluble material present in the filter cake.

Disruption of a filter cake according to the process of the present invention may optionally be used in combination with conventional filter cake disrupting agents other than polymer breakers. These are well known to those skilled in the art including acids, acid generating combinations such as esters and ester hydrolysing enzymes, and chelating agents. The components of such chemical treatments may conveniently be included in the fluids used to displace the drilling fluid from the wellbore.

The drilling fluid and solids free fluid used to displace the drilling fluid may be prepared by any method of preparing such fluids which is well known to those skilled in the art. The fluids will normally only be used to drill through the production interval. Other drilling fluids will be used to drill through other zones.

The present invention further provides a drilling fluid suitable for drilling into an underground formation which contains as a bridging agent one or more solid polymers capable of being converted by hydrolysis into one or more organic acids. The or each solid polymer may be as defined above in connection with the process of the invention. Preferably the solid polymer is a polyester.

The amounts of solid polymer present in the drilling fluid will depend on the required characteristic of the drilling fluid and the amounts of the other components of the drilling fluid. Generally, the formulation chosen will result in a filter cake which contains sufficient of the solid polymer such that when the solid polymer hydrolyses, it will cause sufficient disruption of the filter cake (alone or in combination with the dissolution of acid soluble material in the filter cake and/or degradation of polymers

in the filter cake if polymer breakers are already present) to increase and preferably to substantially restore the formation permeability to that which existed before drilling the well.

Typically a volume of solid polymer will be used which will replace all or part of the volume of particulate material typically present in a drilling fluid. Typically, up to about 60% by weight of solids is present in a drilling fluid, which may be up to about 20% by volume. Accordingly, between about 1% and 25% and preferably about 5 to 20% by volume of solid polymer may be incorporated into drilling fluids according to the method of the present invention.

Where polymer breakers are incorporated into fluids according to the method of the present invention, they will be incorporated at a concentration sufficient to contribute to the disruption of the filter cake. Enzyme polymer breakers will typically be used at 0.05% to 5% v/v commercial liquid preparations or the equivalent amount of dry enzyme preparation. Amounts of oxidative polymer breaker used will depend on the type of breaker employed but will be of the order of 0.005 to 60 Kg/m³, preferably 0.2 to 10 Kg/m³. If polymer breakers are incorporated into solid polymer they will also be incorporated at a concentration sufficient to contribute to the disruption of the filter cake.

Generally the drilling fluid and the solids free fluid used to displace the drilling fluid will be aqueous. The solid polymer may however be incorporated into hydrocarbon based drilling fluids. Dissolution of filter cake requires the presence of water. In displacing from a hydrocarbon based fluid to a water based fluid care will need to be taken to avoid the formation of emulsions. Potential problems in performing the fluid displacement operations and methods of overcoming these problems will be well known to those skilled in the art.

The present invention has the following particular advantages over the prior art:

Drilling using drilling fluid formulations of the present invention produces a filter cake which is self cleaning. The drilling fluid formulations are low hazard. The process disrupts filter cakes along the whole of the production interval with good zonal coverage. The use of conventional acid based clean up methods is not required which has operational and health and safety advantages. Filter cake containing both

carbonate and polymers may be simply and conveniently disrupted by drilling a well with drilling fluid formulations and displacing to a solids free fluid containing polymer breakers. Disruption of filter cake following gravel packs and other sand control completions can be achieved without incorporating treatment chemicals into the gravel packing fluid or a subsequent treatment of the gravel packed well. The components of the system are generally environmentally acceptable. The fluids used to remove the filter cake are not highly corrosive. The use of corrosion inhibitors is therefore not generally required which gives additional environmental benefits.

The invention will be further illustrated by in the following examples:

EXAMPLE 1

1 g of polyglycolic acid powder was added to tubes containing 10 ml of water and 2 g of calcium carbonate (average particle size 50 microns). The tubes were capped and incubated at 25°C, 60°C and 80°C. Calcium carbonate dissolution (due to glycolic acid liberated by hydrolysis of the polyglycolic acid) was monitored by taking samples of the aqueous fluid, separating particulate material by centrifugation and analyzing the soluble calcium using a colorimetric assay method.

The amount of calcium carbonate dissolved after 24 hours was 6, 20 and 40 g/l at 25°C, 60°C and 80°C respectively.

EXAMPLE 2

1 g of polylactic acid granules (average 2.5 mm diameter) was added to tubes containing 10 ml of water and 2 g of calcium carbonate (average particle size 50 microns). The tubes were capped and incubated at 80°C and 95°C. Calcium carbonate dissolution (due to lactic acid liberated by hydrolysis of the polylactic acid) was monitored by taking samples of the aqueous fluid, separating particulate material by centrifugation and analyzing the soluble calcium using a colorimetric assay process.

The amount of calcium carbonate dissolved after 24 hours was 2.5 and 12.4 g/l at 80°C and 95°C respectively.

The Examples show that polyglycolic acid and polylactic acid hydrolyse in contact with water. Calcium carbonate is dissolved by acid produced from the hydrolysis of the solid polymers. They further show that the rate of acid production is a function of the composition of the polymer and the temperature. Hydrolysis of polyester polymers present in a filter cake will lead to disruption of the filter cake. The associated organic acid production will further assist in the disruption of carbonate containing filter cakes and filter cakes in contact with a carbonate formation.

CLAIMS

1. A process for producing a self-disrupting filter cake in an underground formation, which process comprises:
 - (a) incorporating into a drilling fluid a solid polymer capable of being converted by hydrolysis into one or more organic acids; and
 - (b) using the drilling fluid to drill a wellbore into the underground formation such that the solid polymer in the drilling fluid contributes to the formation of a filter cake.
2. A process according to claim 1 which further comprises:
 - (c) allowing the solid polymer to hydrolyse in the presence of water and to disrupt the integrity of the filter cake.
3. A process according to claim 1 or 2 wherein the solid polymer is a polyester.
4. A process according to any one of the preceding claims wherein the solid polymer is an aliphatic polyester.
5. A process according to any one of the preceding claims wherein the solid polymer is a polymer which incorporates lactide, glycolide or caprolactone.
6. A process according to any one of the preceding claims wherein the solid polymer is a polymer which incorporates lactide, glycolide or caprolactone with other monomers.
7. A process according to the preceding claims wherein the solid polymer is polylactide, polyglycolide, lactide-glycolide copolymer, lactide-caprolactone copolymer, glycolide-caprolactone copolymer or lactide-glycolide-caprolactone copolymer.

8. A process according to any one of the preceding claims wherein the solid polymer is a polymer which produces one or more organic acids on hydrolysis.
9. A process according to any one of the preceding claims wherein the solid polymer is a polymer which produces lactic acid or glycolic acid on hydrolysis.
10. A process according to any one of the preceding claims wherein the solid polymer is a homopolymer or copolymer produced by the condensation of one or both of lactic acid and glycolic acid.
11. A process according to any one of the preceding claims wherein the solid polymer is polylactic acid or polyglycolic acid.
12. A process according to any one of the preceding claims wherein the solid polymer is in the form of a sphere, cylinder, cuboid, fibre, powder or bead, or other configuration.
13. A process according to any one of the preceding claims wherein the acid produced by hydrolysis of the polymer dissolves acid soluble material present in the filter cake or adjacent formation.
14. A process according to any one of the preceding claims wherein the drilling fluid comprises the solid polymer in an amount of from 1 to 25% v/v.
15. A process according to any one of the preceding claims wherein the drilling fluid further comprises a polymer breaker
16. A process according to any one of the preceding claims wherein the solid polymer further comprises a polymer breaker.

17. A process according to any one of the preceding claims wherein the process further comprises displacing the drilling fluid with a fluid comprising a polymer breaker.
18. A process according to any one of claims 15 to 17 wherein the polymer breaker is a hydrolase enzyme.
19. A process according to any one of claims 15 to 18 wherein the polymer breaker is a polysaccharide hydrolysing enzyme.
20. A process according to any one of claims 15 to 19 wherein the polymer breaker is an enzyme which can hydrolyse starch, xanthan, cellulose, guar, scleroglucan or succinoglycan or a derivative of any one of these polymers.
21. A process according to any one of claims 15 to 17 wherein the polymer breaker is an oxidant
22. A process according to claim 21 wherein the polymer breaker is an oxidant selected from persulphate, hypochlorite, peroxide, perborate, percarbonate, perphosphate, persilicate, metal cation and hydrogen peroxide adduct.
23. A process according to any one of claims 15 to 22 wherein the polymer breaker is in the form of a delayed release preparation.
24. A process according to any one of claims 15 to 23 wherein the or each polymer breaker is incorporated into the polymer by encapsulation, to allow its or their controlled release coincident with or after acid production.
25. A process according to any one of claims 15 to 23 wherein the or each polymer breaker is incorporated into the polymer by dissolution or dispersion to allow its or their controlled release coincident with acid production.

26. A process according to any of the preceding claims wherein the solid polymer or drilling fluid and consequent filter cake further comprises calcium peroxide and wherein acid produced by hydrolysis of the solid polymer leads to the generation of hydrogen peroxide.
27. A process according to any of the preceding claims wherein the solid polymer, drilling fluid or solids free fluid further comprises ammonium bifluoride and wherein acid produced by hydrolysis of the solid polymer leads to the generation of hydrogen fluoride.
28. A process according to any of the preceding claims wherein the drilling fluid further comprises calcium sulphate as a bridging agent.
29. A process according to any one of claims 15 to 28 wherein the polymer breaker is present in an amount sufficient to further disrupt the integrity of the filter cake.
30. A process according to any one of the preceding claims wherein the underground formation contains hydrocarbon or water.
31. A process according to claim 30 wherein the underground formation contains hydrocarbon and wherein the process further comprises recovering a hydrocarbon from the treated formation.
32. A process according to claim 31 wherein the underground formation contains hydrocarbon and wherein the process further comprises recovering a hydrocarbon from the treated formation.
33. A drilling fluid suitable for drilling into an underground formation which contains as a bridging agent one or more solid polymers capable of being converted by hydrolysis into one or more organic acids.

34. A drilling fluid according to claim 33 wherein the polymer is a polyester.
35. A drilling fluid according to claim 33 or 34 wherein the or each solid polymer is as defined in any one of claims 4 to 12.
36. A drilling fluid according to any one of claims 33 to 35 wherein the polymer hydrolyses in the presence of water and disrupts a filter cake incorporating the solid polymer.
37. A drilling fluid according to claim 36 wherein acid produced by hydrolysis of the polymer further disrupts a filter cake by reacting with acid soluble material present in the filter cake or adjacent formation.

ABSTRACTPROCESS FOR DISRUPTION OF FILTER CAKES

A process for producing a self-disrupting filter cake in an underground formation, which process comprises:

- (a) incorporating into a drilling fluid a solid polymer capable of being converted by hydrolysis into one or more organic acids; and
- (b) using the drilling fluid to drill a wellbore into the underground formation such that the solid polymer in the drilling fluid contributes to the formation of a filter cake.-

Typically the process further comprises:

- (c) allowing the solid polymer to hydrolyse in the presence of water and to disrupt the integrity of the filter cake. A drilling fluid which contains as a bridging agent one or more solid polymers capable of being converted by hydrolysis into one or more organic acids is also provided.

